

Databases

Basics of Relational Database Management

Pierre Senellart



7 February 2024

Plan

Introduction

Data Management

Types of DBMSs

Relational Model

Query Languages

References

Data management

Numerous applications (standalone software, Web sites, etc.) need to **manage data**:

- **Structure** data useful to the application
- Store them in a **persistent** manner (data retained even when the application is not running)
- **Efficiently query** information within large data volumes
- **Update** data without violating some structural **constraints**
- Enable data access and updates by **multiple users**, possibly **concurrently**

Often, desirable to access the same data from **several distinct applications**, from distinct computers.

Example: Information system of a hotel

Access from an in-house software (front desk), a Website (guests), an accounting software suite. Requirements:

- **Structured data** representing rooms, customers, guests, bookings, rates, etc.
- **No loss of data** when these applications are unused, or when a power cut arises
- **Find quasi-instantaneously** which rooms are booked, by whom, a given day, within a history containing several years of bookings
- Easily **add** a booking by ensuring the same room is not booked twice the same day
- The guest, the front desk employee, the accountant, must not have the same **view** of the data (confidentiality, ease of use, etc.)
- If a room is available, it cannot be booked by different guests **at the same time**

Naive implementation (1/2)

- Implementation in a classical programming language (C++, Java, Python, etc.) of data structures that can represent all useful data
- Definition of ad-hoc file formats to store data on disk, with regular synchronization and a mechanism for failure recovery
- In-memory storage of application data, with data structures (search trees, hash tables) and algorithms (search, sort, aggregation, graph navigation, etc.) for efficiently finding information
- Data update functions, with code ensuring no constraint is violated

Naive implementation (2/2)

- Definition within the application of user access rights and an authentication process; use of parallel programming to answer different requests at the same time, locks/semaphores on critical data manipulation steps
- Definition and implementation of a network communication protocol to access this software component from the Web, from a Windows application, from an accounting suite, etc.

Naive implementation (2/2)

- Definition within the application of user access rights and an authentication process; use of parallel programming to answer different requests at the same time, locks/semaphores on critical data manipulation steps
- Definition and implementation of a network communication protocol to access this software component from the Web, from a Windows application, from an accounting suite, etc.

Lots of work!

Naive implementation (2/2)

- Definition within the application of user access rights and an authentication process; use of parallel programming to answer different requests at the same time, locks/semaphores on critical data manipulation steps
- Definition and implementation of a network communication protocol to access this software component from the Web, from a Windows application, from an accounting suite, etc.

Lots of work! Requires a programmer that masters OOP, serialization, failure recovery, data structures, algorithms, integrity constraint verification, role management, parallel programming, concurrency control, network programming, etc.

Naive implementation (2/2)

- Definition within the application of user access rights and an authentication process; use of parallel programming to answer different requests at the same time, locks/semaphores on critical data manipulation steps
- Definition and implementation of a network communication protocol to access this software component from the Web, from a Windows application, from an accounting suite, etc.

Lots of work! Requires a programmer that masters OOP, serialization, failure recovery, data structures, algorithms, integrity constraint verification, role management, parallel programming, concurrency control, network programming, etc. Needs to be done again **for every new application** that manages data!

Role of a DBMS

Database Management System

Software that **simplifies the design** of applications that handle data, by providing a **unified access** to the functionalities required for **data management**, whatever the application.

Database

Collection of data (specific to a given application) managed by a DBMS

Features of DBMSs (1/2)

Physical independence. The user of a DBMS does not need to know how data are stored (in a file, on a raw partition, in a distributed filesystem, etc.); storage can be modified without impacting data access

Logical independence. It is possible to provide the user with a partial view of the data, corresponding to what he needs and is allowed to access

Ease of data access. Use of a declarative language describing queries and updates on the data, specifying the intent of a user rather than the way this will be implemented

Query optimization. Queries are automatically optimized to be implemented as efficiently as possible on the database

Features of DBMSs (2/2)

Logical integrity. The DBMS imposes constraints on data structure; every modification violating these constraints is denied

Physical integrity. The database remains in a coherent state, and data are durably preserved, even in case of software or hardware failure

Data sharing. Data are accessible by multiple users, concurrently, and these multiple and concurrent accesses cannot violate logical or physical data integrity

Standardization. The use of a DBMS is standardized, so that it may be possible to replace a DBMS with another without changing (in a major way) the code of the application



Plan

Introduction

Data Management

Types of DBMSs

Relational Model

Query Languages

References

Diversity of DBMSs

- **Dozens** of existing DBMSs that are broadly used
- All DBMSs do not provide **all these features**
- DBMSs can be **differentiated** based on:
 - data model used
 - trade-offs made between performance and features
 - ease of use
 - scalability
 - internal architecture

Major types of DBMSs

Relational (RDBMS). Tables, complex queries (SQL), rich features

XML. Trees, complex queries (XQuery), features similar to RDBMS

Graph/Triples. Graph data, complex queries expressing graph navigation

Objects. Complex data model, inspired by OOP

Documents. Complex data, organized in documents, relatively simple queries and features

Key-Value. Very basic data model, focus on performance

Column Stores. Data model in between key-value and RDBMS; focus on iteration and aggregation on columns

Major types of DBMSs

Relational (RDBMS). Tables, complex queries (SQL), rich features

XML. Trees, complex queries (XQuery), features similar to RDBMS

Graph/Triples. Graph data, complex queries expressing graph navigation

Objects. Complex data model, inspired by OOP

Documents. Complex data, organized in documents, relatively simple queries and features

Key-Value. Very basic data model, focus on performance

Column Stores. Data model in between key-value and RDBMS; focus on iteration and aggregation on columns

NoSQL

Classical relational DBMSs

- Based on the **relational model**: decomposition of data into relations (i.e., tables)
- A standard query language: **SQL**
- Data **stored on disk**
- Relations (tables) stored **row by row**
- **Centralized** system, with limited distribution possibilities

ORACLE
DATABASE


Microsoft
SQL Server™

IBM
DB2

SAP ASE

 SQLite


MySQL®

PostgreSQL


Strengths of classical relational DBMSs

- **Independence** between:
 - data model and storage structures
 - declarative queries and the way queries are executed
- **Complex** queries
- Fine **optimization** of queries, **indexes** allowing quick access to data
- **Mature** technology, **stable**, **efficient**, rich in features and interfaces
- **Integrity constraints** ensuring invariants on data
- Efficient management of **very large volume of data** (up to terabytes)
- **Transactions** (sequences of elementary operations) with guaranties on concurrency control, isolation between users, failure recovery

ACID properties

Classical relational DBMS **transactions** satisfy **ACID** properties:

ACID properties

Classical relational DBMS **transactions** satisfy **ACID** properties:

Atomicity: The set of operations within a transaction is either executed as a whole or canceled as a whole

Consistency: Transactions ensure integrity constraints on the base are respected

Isolation: Two concurrent executions of transactions result in a state equivalent to serial execution of the transactions

Durability: Once transactions are committed, corresponding data stay durably in the base, even in case of system failure

Weaknesses of classical RDBMSs

- Incapable of managing **extremely large data volume** (of the order of a petabyte)
- Impossible to manage **extreme query rates** (beyond thousands of queries per second)
- The relational data model is sometimes poorly adapted to the storage and querying of **some data types** (hierarchical data, unstructured data, semi-structured data)
- ACID properties imply major **costs** in latency, disk accesses, processing time (locks, logging, etc.)
- Performances **limited by disk accesses**

NoSQL

- **No SQL** or **Not Only SQL**
- DBMSs with other trade-offs than those made by classical systems
- **Very diverse** ecosystem
- **Desiderata**: different data model, scalability, extreme performance
- **Abandoned features**: ACID, (sometimes) complex queries

NewSQL

- Some applications require:
 - A **rich** (SQL) query language
 - conformity to **ACID** properties
 - but **greater performance** than classical RDBMSs

NewSQL

- Some applications require:
 - A **rich** (SQL) query language
 - conformity to **ACID** properties
 - but **greater performance** than classical RDBMSs
- Possible solutions:
 - Getting rid of classical **bottleneck** of RDBMSs: locks, logging, cache management
 - **In-memory** databases, with asynchronous copy on disk
 - **Lock-free** concurrency control (MVCC)
 - **Shared-nothing** distributed architecture with transparent **load balancing**

Google
Cloud
Spanner



Clustrix

VOLT
ACTIVE DATA

In this course

- We mostly cover **classical relational DBMSs** (the most used, the most mature, by far!)
- ... and the rich **underlying theory**
- Many aspects also relevant to other forms of DBMSs

Plan

Introduction

Relational Model
Model

Query Languages

References

Relational schema

We fix countably infinite sets:

- \mathcal{L} of labels
- \mathcal{V} of values
- \mathcal{T} of types, s.t., $\forall \tau \in \mathcal{T}, \tau \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

Definition

A **relation schema** (of **arity** n) is an n -tuple (A_1, \dots, A_n) where each A_i (called an **attribute**) is a pair (L_i, τ_i) with $L_i \in \mathcal{L}$, $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}$ and such that all L_i are distinct

Definition

A **database schema** is defined by a finite set of labels $L \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ (**relation names**), each label of L being mapped to a relation schema.

Example database schema

- Universe:
 - \mathcal{L} the set of alphanumeric character strings starting with a letter
 - \mathcal{V} the set of finite sequences of bits
 - \mathcal{T} is formed of types such as INTEGER (representation as a sequence of bits of integers between -2^{31} and $2^{31} - 1$), REAL (representation of floating-point numbers following IEEE 754), TEXT (UTF-8 representation of character strings), DATE (ISO 8601 representation of dates), etc.
- Database schema formed of 2 relation names, Guest and Reservation
- Guest: ((id, INTEGER), (name, TEXT), (email, TEXT))
- Reservation:
 - ((id, INTEGER), (guest, INTEGER), (room, INTEGER), (arrival, DATE), (nights, INTEGER))

Database

Definition

An **instance** of a relation schema $((L_1, \tau_1), \dots, (L_n, \tau_n))$ (also called a **relation on this schema**) is a **finite set** $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ of tuples of the form $t_j = (v_{j1}, \dots, v_{jn})$ with $\forall j \forall i v_{ji} \in \tau_i$.

Definition

An **instance** of a database schema (or, simply, a **database on this schema**) is a function that maps each relation name to an instance of the corresponding relation schema.

Note: **Relation** is used somewhat ambiguously to talk about a relation schema or an instance of a relation schema.

Example

Guest

id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation

id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Some notation

- If $A = (L, \tau)$ is the i th attribute of a relation R , and t an n -tuple of an instance of R , we note $t[A]$ (or $t[L]$) the value of the i th component of t .
- Similarly, if \mathcal{A} is a k -tuple of attributes among the n attributes of R , $t[\mathcal{A}]$ is the k -tuple formed from t by concatenating the $t[A]$ for A in \mathcal{A} .
- A **tuple** is an n -tuple for some n .

Simple integrity constraints

One can add to the relational schema some **integrity constraints**, of different nature, to define a notion of **validity** of an instance

- **Key**. A tuple of attribute \mathcal{A} of a relation schema R is a **key** if there cannot exist two distinct tuples t_1 and t_2 in an instance of R such that $t_1[\mathcal{A}] = t_2[\mathcal{A}]$
- **Foreign key**. A k -tuple of attributes \mathcal{A} of a relation schema R is a **foreign key referencing** a k -tuple of attributes \mathcal{B} of a relation schema S if for all instances I^R and I^S of R and S , for every tuple t of I^R , there exists a **unique** tuple t' of I^S with $t[\mathcal{A}] = t'[\mathcal{B}]$
- **Check constraint**. Arbitrary condition on the values of the attributes of a relation (applying to each tuple of the instances of that relation)

Examples of constraints

- id is a **key** of Guest
- email is a **key** of Guest
- id is a **key** of Reservation
- (room, arrival) is a **key** of Reservation
- (guest, arrival) is a **key** of Reservation (?)
- guest is a **foreign key** of Reservation referencing id of Guest
- In Guest, email **must** contain a “@”
- In Reservation, room **must** be between 1 and 650
- In Reservation, nights **must** be positive

Impossible to express more complex constraints (e.g., a room cannot be occupied twice the same night, which depends on the date and the number of nights for multiple tuples of Reservation)

Variants: named and unnamed perspectives

The version presented considers the attributes of a relation are ordered and have a name. This is what best matches the way RDBMSs work, but not necessarily the most pleasant to reason on the relational model.

Named perspective. We forget the position of attributes, and consider they are uniquely identified by their names.

Unnamed perspective. We forget the name of attributes, and consider they are uniquely identified by their position. One uses notation such as $t[2]$ to access the value of the second attribute of a tuple.

No major impact, one will use one or the other depending on what is convenient.

Variant: bag semantics

- A relation instance is defined as a (finite) set of tuples. One can also consider a **bag semantics** of the relational model, where a relation instance is a multiset of tuples.
- This is what best matches how RDBMSs work...
- ... but most of relational database theory has been established for the set semantics, **more convenient** to work with
- We will **mostly discuss the set semantics** in this lecture, but explain where differences matter

Variant: untyped version

- In implementations, attributes are **always typed**
- In models and theoretical results, one often abstracts attribute types away and considers each attribute has a **universal type** \mathcal{V}
- We will most often omit **attribute types**

Plan

Introduction

Relational Model

Query Languages

Relational Algebra

SQL

References

The relational algebra

- **Algebraic language** to express queries
- A relational algebra expression produces a **new relation** from the database relations
- Each operator takes 0, 1, or 2 **subexpressions**
- Main operators:

Op.	Arity	Description	Condition
R	0	Relation name	$R \in \mathcal{L}$
$\rho_{A \rightarrow B}$	1	Renaming	$A, B \in \mathcal{L}$
$\Pi_{A_1 \dots A_n}$	1	Projection	$A_1 \dots A_n \in \mathcal{L}$
σ_φ	1	Selection	φ formula
\times	2	Cross product	
\cup	2	Union	
\setminus	2	Difference	
\bowtie_φ	2	Join	φ formula

Relation name

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: Guest

Result:

id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Renaming

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\rho_{id \rightarrow guest}(\text{Guest})$

Result:

guest	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Projection

Guest		
id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation				
id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{\text{email}, \text{id}}(\text{Guest})$

Result:

email	id
john.smith@gmail.com	1
alice@black.name	2
john.smith@ens.fr	3

Selection

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\sigma_{\text{arrival} > 2017-01-12 \wedge \text{guest} = 2}(\text{Reservation})$

Result:

id	guest	room	arrival	nights
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

The formula used in the selection can be any **Boolean combination** of **comparisons** of attributes to attributes or constants.

Cross product

Guest		
id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation				
id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{id}(\text{Guest}) \times \Pi_{name}(\text{Guest})$

Result:

id	name
1	Alice Black
2	Alice Black
3	Alice Black
1	John Smith
2	John Smith
3	John Smith

Union

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation})) \cup$
 $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$

Result:

room
107
302
504

Union

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation})) \cup$
 $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$

Result:

room
107
302
504

This simple union could have been written

$\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2 \vee \text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$. Not always possible.

Difference

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation})) \setminus \Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$

Result:

room
107

Difference

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation})) \setminus$
 $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$

Result: $\frac{\text{room}}{107}$

This simple difference could have been written $\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2 \wedge \text{arrival} \neq 2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$. Not always possible.

Join

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Expression: $\text{Reservation} \bowtie_{\text{guest=id}} \text{Guest}$

Result:

id	guest	room	arrival	nights	name	email
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1	Alice Black	alice@black.name

The formula used in the join can be any **Boolean combination** of **comparisons** of attributes of the table on the left to attributes of the table on the right.

Note on the join

- The join is not an **elementary** operator of the relational algebra (but it is very useful)
- It can be seen as a **combination** of renaming, cross product, selection, projection
- Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Reservation} \bowtie_{\text{guest=id}} \text{Guest} \\ \equiv & \Pi_{\text{id,guest,room,arrival,nights,name,email}}(\\ & \sigma_{\text{guest=temp}}(\text{Reservation} \times \rho_{\text{id} \rightarrow \text{temp}}(\text{Guest}))) \end{aligned}$$

- If R and S have for attributes \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , we note $R \bowtie S$ the **natural join** of R and S , where the join formula is $\bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}} A = A$.

Illegal operations

- All expressions of the relational algebra are not **valid**
- The validity of an expression generally depends on the database schema
- For example:
 - No reference to the name of a relation that doesn't exist in the database schema
 - One cannot reference (within a renaming, projection, selection, join) an attribute that does not exist in the result of a sub-expression
 - One cannot union two relations with different attributes
 - One cannot produce (cross product, join, renaming) a table with two attributes with the same name
- Systems implementing the relational algebra may do a static or dynamic verification of these rules, or sometimes ignore them

Bag semantics

In bag semantics (what is actually used by RDBMS):

- All operations return **multisets**
- In particular, projection and union can **introduce** multisets even when initial relations are sets

Extension: Aggregation

- Various extensions have been proposed to the relational algebra to add **additional features**
- In particular, **aggregation and grouping** [Klug, 1982, Libkin, 2003] of results
- With a syntax inspired from [Libkin, 2003]:

$$\sigma_{\text{avg} > 3}(\gamma_{\text{room}}^{\text{avg}}[\lambda x. \text{avg}(x)](\Pi_{\text{room}, \text{nights}}(\text{Reservation})))$$

computes the average number of nights per reservation for each room having an average greater than 3

room	avg
302	6
504	3.5

Plan

Introduction

Relational Model

Query Languages

Relational Algebra

SQL

References

SQL

- **Structured Query Language**, standard language (ISO/IEC 9075, several versions [ISO, 1987, 1999]) to **interact with an RDBMS**
- Unfortunately, implementation of the standard very **variable** from one RDBMS to the next
- Many little things (e.g., available types) vary between RDBMSs instead of following the standard
- Differences more **syntactical** than major
- Where it makes a difference, we use the **PostgreSQL** version
- Two main parts: DDL (**Data Definition Language**) to define the schema and DML (**Data Manipulation Language**) to query and update data
- **Declarative** language: express what you mean, the system will take care of translating this into an **efficient execution plan**

Syntax of SQL

- Quite **verbose**, designed to be almost readable as English words [Chamberlin and Boyce, 1974]
- Keywords are **case-insensitive**, traditionally written in all uppercase
- Identifiers often **case-insensitive** (depends of the RDBMS), often written with an initial uppercase for table names, in all lower case for attribute names
- **Comments** introduced with --
- SQL statements end with a “;” in some contexts (e.g., command line client) but the “;” is not properly part of the statement

NULL

- In SQL, NULL is a special value that an attribute can take within a tuple
- Denotes **absence of value**
- Different from 0, from an empty string, etc.
- Weird **tri-valued** logic: True, False, NULL
- A normal comparison (equality, inequality, etc.) with NULL always returns NULL
- **IS NULL, IS NOT NULL** can be used to test whether a value is NULL
- NULL est ultimately converted to False
- Weird consequences, poor integration with the formal relational model

Data Definition Language

```
CREATE TABLE Guest(id INTEGER, name TEXT, email TEXT);  
CREATE TABLE Reservation(id INTEGER, guest INTEGER,  
    room INTEGER, arrival DATE, nights INTEGER);
```

But also:

- **DROP TABLE** Guest; to destroy a table
- **ALTER TABLE** Guest **RENAME TO** Guest2; to rename a table
- **ALTER TABLE** Guest **ALTER COLUMN** id **TYPE** TEXT; to change the type of a column

Constraints

Specified at the creation of a table, or added later on (with **ALTER TABLE**)

PRIMARY KEY for the primary key; only one per table, it is the key that will be used for physical organization of data; implies **NOT NULL**

UNIQUE for other keys

REFERENCES for foreign keys

CHECK for Check constraints

NOT NULL to indicate that an attribute cannot take the NULL value

Constraints

```
CREATE TABLE Guest(  
  id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  name TEXT NOT NULL,  
  email TEXT UNIQUE CHECK (email LIKE '%@%')  
);
```

```
CREATE TABLE Reservation(  
  id INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
  guest INTEGER NOT NULL REFERENCES Guest(id),  
  room INTEGER NOT NULL CHECK (room>0  
    AND room<651),  
  arrival DATE NOT NULL,  
  nights INTEGER NOT NULL CHECK (nights>0),  
  UNIQUE(room, arrival),  
  UNIQUE(guest, arrival)  
);
```

Updates

- Insertions:

```
INSERT INTO Guest(id,name) VALUES (5, 'John');
```

- Deletions:

```
DELETE FROM Reservation WHERE id>4;
```

- Modifications:

```
UPDATE Reservation SET room=205 WHERE room=204;
```

Updates

INSERT INTO Guest VALUES

```
(1, 'Jean Dupont', 'jean.dupont@gmail.com'),  
(2, 'Alice Dupuis', 'alice@dupuis.name'),  
(3, 'Jean Dupont', 'jean.dupont@ens.fr');
```

INSERT INTO Reservation VALUES

```
(1, 1, 504, '2017-01-01', 5),  
(2, 2, 107, '2017-01-10', 3),  
(3, 3, 302, '2017-01-15', 6),  
(4, 2, 504, '2017-01-15', 2),  
(5, 2, 107, '2017-01-30', 1);
```

Queries

General following form:

SELECT ... **FROM** ... **WHERE** ...

GROUP BY ... **HAVING** ...

UNION SELECT ... **FROM** ...

SELECT projection, renaming, aggregation

FROM cross product

WHERE selection (optional)

GROUP BY grouping (optional)

HAVING selection on the grouping (optional)

UNION union (optional)

Other keywords: **ORDER BY** to reorder, **LIMIT** to limit to first k results, **DISTINCT** to impose set semantics, **EXCEPT** for set difference, etc.

Renaming

Guest		
id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation				
id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\rho_{id \rightarrow \text{guest}}(\text{Guest})$$

```
SELECT id AS guest, name, email
FROM Guest;
```

Projection

Guest		
id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation				
id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\Pi_{\text{email}, \text{id}}(\text{Guest})$$

```
SELECT DISTINCT email, id
FROM Guest;
```

Selection

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\sigma_{\text{arrival} > 2017-01-12 \wedge \text{guest} = 2}(\text{Reservation})$$

```

SELECT *
FROM Reservation
WHERE arrival > '2017-01-12' AND guest = 2;

```

Cross product

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\Pi_{id}(Guest) \times \Pi_{name}(Guest)$$

```

SELECT *
FROM
  (SELECT DISTINCT id FROM Guest) AS temp1,
  (SELECT DISTINCT name FROM Guest) AS temp2
ORDER BY name, id;

```

Union

Guest		
id	name	email
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr

Reservation				
id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation}))$$

$$\cup \Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$$

```

SELECT room
FROM Reservation
WHERE guest=2
UNION
SELECT room
FROM Reservation
WHERE arrival='2017-01-15';

```

Difference

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{guest}=2}(\text{Reservation}))$$

$$\setminus \Pi_{\text{room}}(\sigma_{\text{arrival}=2017-01-15}(\text{Reservation}))$$

```

SELECT room
FROM Reservation
WHERE guest=2
EXCEPT
SELECT room
FROM Reservation
WHERE arrival='2017-01-15';
  
```

Join

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

Reservation $\bowtie_{\text{guest=id}}$ Guest

```
SELECT Reservation.*, name, email
FROM Reservation JOIN Guest ON guest=Guest.id;
```

```
SELECT Reservation.*, name, email
FROM Reservation, Guest
WHERE guest=Guest.id;
```

Aggregation

Guest			Reservation				
id	name	email	id	guest	room	arrival	nights
1	John Smith	john.smith@gmail.com	1	1	504	2017-01-01	5
2	Alice Black	alice@black.name	2	2	107	2017-01-10	3
3	John Smith	john.smith@ens.fr	3	3	302	2017-01-15	6
			4	2	504	2017-01-15	2
			5	2	107	2017-01-30	1

$$\sigma_{\text{avg} > 3}(\gamma_{\text{room}}^{\text{avg}}[\lambda x. \text{avg}(x)](\Pi_{\text{room}, \text{nights}}(\text{Reservation})))$$

```

SELECT room, AVG(nights) AS avg
FROM Reservation
GROUP BY room
HAVING AVG(nights) > 3
ORDER BY room;

```

Plan

Introduction

Relational Model

Query Languages

References

References

- **Basics** on what data management research is [Benedikt and Senellart, 2012]
- Classic textbook on the **foundations** of data management, database theory [Abiteboul et al., 1995]
- Modern textbook, being developed, on **database theory** [Arenas et al., 2022]
- Classic textbook on **database systems** [Garcia-Molina et al., 2008]
- **Details of SQL**: standards are not public documents (and not useful for a final user); use the RDBMS documentation instead
- For example, **PostgreSQL** has a comprehensive documentation at <https://www.postgresql.org/docs/> (and using \h in the command line client)

Bibliography I

Serge Abiteboul, Richard Hull, and Victor Vianu. *Foundations of Databases*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.

<http://webdam.inria.fr/Alice/>.

Marcelo Arenas, Pablo Barceló, Leonid Libkin, Wim Martens, and Andreas Pieris. Database theory.

<https://github.com/pdm-book/community>, 2022.

Preliminary version.

Michael Benedikt and Pierre Senellart. Databases. In Edward K. Blum and Alfred V. Aho, editors, *Computer Science. The Hardware, Software and Heart of It*, pages 169–229. Springer-Verlag, 2012.

Donald D. Chamberlin and Raymond F. Boyce. SEQUEL: A structured English query language. In *Proc. SIGFIDET/SIGMOD Workshop*, volume 1, 1974.

Bibliography II

Hector Garcia-Molina, Jeffrey D. Ulman, and Jennifer Widom.
Pearson, 2008.

ISO. *ISO 9075:1987: SQL*. International Standards
Organization, 1987.

ISO. *ISO 9075:1999: SQL*. International Standards
Organization, 1999.

Anthony C. Klug. Equivalence of relational algebra and
relational calculus query languages having aggregate
functions. *J. ACM*, 29(3):699–717, 1982.

Leonid Libkin. Expressive power of SQL. *Theor. Comput.
Sci.*, 296(3):379–404, 2003.