

# Recursion, memoization, dynamic programming

## L3 Algorithmics and Programming

Pierre Senellart



11 October 2018

# Outline

Recursion

Memoization

Dynamic programming

## When should one use recursion?

A problem  $P$  can be solved by recursion when:

- It can be parameterized by **one or several integers**  
 $P(n_1 \dots n_k)$
- The solution of  $P(n_1 \dots n_k)$  can be obtained **from** the solution of  $P(n_1^{(1)} \dots n_k^{(1)}) \dots P(n_1^{(\ell)} \dots n_k^{(\ell)})$  for **some**  $\ell \geq 1$  with, for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ,  $n_j^{(i)} \leq n_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , one of these inequalities being strict ( $\forall i, \exists j, n_j^{(i)} < n_j$ ): **recursive case**
- $P(0 \dots 0)$  (or  $P(n_1 \dots n_k)$  with  $n_i$  “small” depending on the case) **easy to compute: base case**

## Example 1: Factorial

- $P(n) = n!$
- $k = 1, \ell = 1$
- $P(n) = n \times P(n - 1)$  for  $n \geq 1$
- $P(0) = 1$

## Example 2: Fibonacci

- $P(n)$   **$n$ th Fibonacci number**
- $k = 1, \ell = 2$
- $P(n) = P(n - 1) + P(n - 2)$  for  $n \geq 1$
- $P(0) = 1, P(1) = 1$

## Example 3: Levenshtein edit distance

$d(s, s')$  edit distance (minimal number of characters to add, remove, modify) to go from  $s$  to  $s'$ .

- $P(n_1, n_2)$  **edit distance** between the **prefix** of length  $n_1$  of  $s$  and the **prefix** of length  $n_2$  of  $s'$
- $k = 2, \ell = 3$
- $P(n_1, n_2) = \min \left( P(n_1 - 1, n_2) + 1, P(n_1, n_2 - 1) + 1, P(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) + \mathbb{I}_{s_{n_1} \neq s'_{n_2}} \right)$   
for  $n_1 \geq 1, n_2 \geq 1$
- $P(n_1, 0) = n_1$  for  $n_1 \geq 0$ ;  $P(0, n_2) = n_2$  for  $n_2 \geq 0$ .

$\mathbb{I}_b$  is 1 if  $b$  is true, 0 otherwise

## Implementation

- Recursive function

```
function  $P(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ;
```

```
if base case then
```

```
    ...;
```

```
    return ...;
```

```
else
```

```
    // recursive case
```

```
    ...;
```

```
    //  $\ell$  calls to  $P$ 
```

```
    return ...;
```

```
end
```

- One says recursion is terminal if  $\ell = 1$  and the call to  $P$  in the last instruction of the recursive case (**return**  $P(n'_1, \dots, n'_k)$ )
- NB: On the example above, the **else** can be omitted.

## Complexity

- **Upper** bounds (sometimes better than that, e.g., if recursive call is on  $\frac{n}{2}$  and not on  $n - 1$ ):
  - If  $\ell = 1$ :  $O(n_1 + \dots + n_k)$ : often **acceptable**
  - If  $\ell > 1$ :  $O(\ell^{n_1 + \dots + n_k})$ : often **unreasonable**
- Be wary of memory used **on the stack**:  $O(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i)$  (usually, memory allocated to the stack varies from hundreds of kilobytes to a few megabytes).
- When recursion is terminal, the compiler may be able to eliminate recursion, and therefore stack space requirements.

# Outline

Recursion

**Memoization**

Dynamic programming

## Idea (1/3)

**Cache:** global object (or static, or class member) that remembers results from function  $P$  from one call to another

## Idea (2/3)

```
function  $P(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ;  
if  $M(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  is defined then  
  | return  $M(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ;  
end  
if base case then  
  | ...;  
  |  $r \leftarrow \dots$ ;  
else  
  | // recursive case  
  | ...;  
  | //  $\ell$  calls to  $P$   
  |  $r \leftarrow \dots$ ;  
end  
 $M(n_1, \dots, n_k) \leftarrow r$ ;  
return  $r$ ;
```

## Idea (3/3)

- Terminal recursion is impossible!
- Data structure: **multi-dimensional array** or **associative array** (**map**) implemented as a balanced search tree or as a hash table (cf. upcoming lecture)
- Use a classic array when parameters are integers with **contiguous values**, use an associative array otherwise
- Array can generally be statically allocated when one has an a priori **upper bound** on parameter sizes

# Complexity

- $O(n_1 \times \cdots \times n_k \times \ell)$  operations
- $O(n_1 \times \cdots \times n_k)$  memory used on the heap (space used depends on data structure)
- $O(n_1 + \cdots + n_k)$  memory used on the stack
- No useless computation!

# Outline

Recursion

Memoization

Dynamic programming

## Idea

- **Iterative** computation
- One builds a **matrix** (multi-dimensional array)  $n_1 \times \dots \times n_k$  that is iteratively filled, bottom up

```
function  $P(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ ;  
 $M \leftarrow$  matrix( $n_1, \dots, n_k$ );  
// fill  $M$  with base cases  
for  $i_1 \leftarrow 1$  to  $n_1$  do  
|  
|   ...;  
|   for  $i_k \leftarrow 1$  to  $n_k$  do  
|   |  
|   |   ...;  
|   |    $M(i_1, \dots, i_k) \leftarrow \dots$ ;  
|   |   // use precomputed values  
|   end  
end  
return  $M(n_1 \dots n_k)$ ;
```

# Complexity

- $\Theta(n_1 \times \dots \times n_k \times \ell)$  operations
- $\Theta(n_1 \times \dots \times n_k)$  memory used on the heap
- $O(1)$  memory used on the stack
- Sometimes too many operations!

## Memoization vs dynamic programming

- The two techniques **roughly amount to the same thing**
- **Pro of memoization:** only necessary computations are made (the matrix is not fully filled)
- **Con of memoization:** recursive calls have a small overhead (wrt imperative style) and use the call stack (limited in size)
- The behavior of dynamic programming can be simulated with memoization
- For some complex cases, one can simulate recursive calls with a stack maintained within the heap